£ <u>4</u>								
		ग्त्यमेव जयते	Office of t केंद्रीय जीएसर्ट Central GST, Ap जीएसर्टी भवन, रा CGST Bhavan, Rev	the Comm ो, अप्रील अ ppeal Comm जस्व मार्ग, अ	issionerate, Ahr न्बावाझी अहमदाबाद	eal), हमदाबाद nedabad ३८००१४. labad 380015	MATION TAX Standarket	
		स्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. फाइल संख्य अपील आदे	V000000EB6B <u>द्वारा</u> ग : File No : <u>GAPPL/</u> श संख्या Order-In-Ap te : 29.08.2023 जारी	peal Nos. A	HM-CGST-001-A		23-24	
0	, ग्	Passed Arising c	कुमार जैन संयुक्त अ by Shri Adesh Kum put of Order-in-Orig t Commissioner, C	nar Jain, Join inal ZK240	nt Commissioner 8220173955 DT.	16.08.2022 issi	ued by The	
	ध	The Assist	का नाम एवं पता Name Appellant ant Commissioner, I, Ahmedabad Sout	CGST,	M/s. Jindal Wor Jindal Corporat Petrol Pump La 132 Ft Ring Roa	Respondent Respondent Id wide Limited, te House, opp. D ne, Shivranjani, ad, Satellite, Ahm 24AAACJ3816G	Shyamal, nedabad-	·
		(४) प्राधिकरप	श(अपील) से व्यथित के ग के समक्ष अपील दाय on aggrieved by this O	ार कर सकता	है।	J		bllowing
0	(i)	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017. State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017						
	_(ii) (iii)	Appeal t	o the Appellate Tribun nied with a fee of Rs. (in Tax or Input Tax (against, subject to a n	al shall be filed	l as prescribed unde	er Rule 110 of CGST akh of Tax or Input fine, fee or penalty sand.	F. Rules, 2017 and Tax Credit involved determined in th	shall be d or the e order
	(B)	Appeal u documer 05, on co of the or	under Section 112(1) hts either electronically ommon portal as presci der appealed against w	of CGST Act, y or as may be ribed under Ru vithin seven da	2017 to Appellate notified by the Reg le 110 of CGST Rule ys of filing FORM G	Tribunal shall be f Istrar, Appellate Tr s, 2017, and shall ST APL-05 online.	filed along with r ibuhal in FORM G accompanied by	elevant ST APL- / a copy
	(i)	(i) (ii) / a	b be filed before Appel Full amount of Tax admitted/accepted k A sum equal to <u>twenty</u> ddition to the amount elation to which the ap	c, Interest, Fin by the appellar five per cent paid under Se peal has been	ne, Fee and Penal nt, and of the remaining ection 107(6) of CG filed.	ty_arising_from_the amamamam ST Act, 2017, arisin	e impugned orde ount of Tax in dis of from the said o	pute, in rder, in
	(ii)	that the date on office, w	ral Goods & Service Ta appeal to tribunal can which the President o hichever is later.	be made with or the State Pr	nin three months fr esident, as the cas	om the date of co e may be; of the	mmunication of O Appellate Tribunal	order or l enters
	(C)	100.019	गिलीय प्राधिकारी को उ लार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइ orate, detailed and la t may refer to the web	test provision	s celating to filing	b		
· [2000001 2000001 2011 2011 2011 2011 201		<u>inedi antista a</u> Chaptalana Ca Na Artista anti- Rata anti- Stata anti- Na Artista anti- Na Artista anti- Na Artista anti-	

ORDER IN APPEAL

Brief Facts of the Case :

The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division VII, Ahmedabad South(hereinafter referred to as the '*Appellant/Department*') in terms of Review Order No. 65/2022-23 dated 13.02.2023 issued under Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017, has filed the present appeal offline in terms of Advisory No.9/2020 dated 24.09.2020 issued by the Additional Director General (Systems), Bengaluru. The appeal is filed against Order No. ZK2408220173955 dated 16.08.2022 (hereinafter referred to as the *Impugned Order*) passed in Form-GST-RFD-06 by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division VII, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as the *Adjudicating Authority*) sanctioning refund to **M/s. Jindal World Wide Limited**, Jindal Corporate House, Opposite D-Mart, I.O.C. Petrol Pump Lane, Shivranjani, Shyamal, 132 Ft Road, Satellite, Ahmedabad – 380 015 (hereinafter referred to as the '*Respondent*').

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case is that the *Respondent* registered under GSTN No.24AAACJ3816G1ZX had filed a refund claim of Rs.1,97,57,289/- for refund of ITC accumulated due to export without payment of duty vide ARN No. AA240722106554I dated 27.07.2022 for the period of May 2022 under Section 54 (3) of the CGST Act, 2017. After verification of the refund claim the *adjudicating authority* found the claim in order and accordingly sanctioned the said refund claim to the *Respondent* vide *impugned order*.

During Review of the 'Impugned Order' dated 16.08.2022 the department has

- During review of refund claim it was observed that excess amount of refund has been sanctioned to the respondent in accordance with Rule 89 (4) of CGST Rules, 2017 read with Section 54 (3) of CGST Act, 2017. It was observed by the department that the adjudicating authority has taken Adjusted total turnover of supply as Rs 3,28,40,47,548/-, whereas, as per the GSTR 3B return for the month of May, 2022, the Adjusted total turnover of supply is Rs.3,64,09,93,700/-.
- While calculating Adjusted turnover in refund claim of ITC accumulated due to export of goods/services without payment of tax, the Adjudicating Authority has considered Outward taxable supplies(other than zero rated, nil rated and exempted) value(Domestic value) given in GSTR 3B, however, the same should be total of Domestic value and Export value. Also, as per Rule 89 (4), which has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act 2017, the Invoice Value (Domestic + Export) is applicable for calculating Adjusted turnover and not, only the Domestic value.

2

Sub-rule (4) of Rule 89 prescribes the formula for computing the refund of unutilised ITC payable on account of zero-rated supplies made without payment of tax. The formula prescribed under Rule 89 (4) is reproduced below, as under:

"Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zerorated supply of services) x Net ITC / Adjusted Total Turnover".

- Adjusted Total Turnover has been defined in clause (E) of sub-rule (4) of Rule 89 as under: "Adjusted Total Turnover" means the sum total of the value of- (a) the turnover in a State or a Union territory, is defined under clause (112) of section 2, excluding the turnover of services; "Turnover in state or turnover in Union territory" as referred to in the definition of "Adjusted Total Turnover" in Rule 89 (4) has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act 2017, as: "Turnover in State or turnover in Union territory" means the aggregate value of all taxable supplies (excluding the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable by a person on reverse charge basis) and exempt supplies made within a State or Union territory by a taxable person, exports of goods or services or both and inter State supplies of goods or services or both made from the state or Union territory by the said taxable person but excludes Central tax, State tax, Union territory tax, Integrated tax and cess".
- Further, Para 8 of Notification No.14/2022 Central Tax dated 05.07.2022, the rule 89, (c) in sub-rule (4) has been amended where the following Explanation has been inserted, namely: -Explanation. - For the purposes of this sub-rule, the value of goods exported out of India shall be taken as - (i) the Free on Board (FOB) value declared in the Shipping Bill or Bill of Export form, as the case may be, as per the Shipping Bill and Bill of Export (Forms) Regulations, 2017; or (ii) the value declared in tax invoice or bill of supply, whichever is less.;
- Thus, taking the higher value of Adjusted total turnover from GSTR 3B (Rs. 3,64,09,93,700/-), applying the formulae for refund of export without payment of tax, the refund admissible comes to Rs.1,78,20,376/- and not Rs. 1,97,57,289/- sanctioned by the sanctioning authority. Thus, there is excess sanction of refund of Rs. 19,36,913/- to the claimant which is required to be recovered along with interest.

Zero rated supply (1)	supply as per GSTR-3B (2)	(3)	Refund Amount sanctioned (4)	Refund Amount Admissible (5) (1*3/2)	Excess Refund Amount Sanctioned (6)
305020328	3640993700	212719839	19757289	17820376	1936913

3

- In view of above, the department has considered that excess amount of refund has been sanctioned to the claimant. Accordingly, the department is of the view that the said excess amount of erroneous refund of Rs.19,36,913/- is required to be recover along with interest.

3. In view of above the *appellant/department* has filed the present appeal on following grounds:

- The adjudicating authority has erred in calculating the refund amount by taking wrong value of Rs. 3,28,40,47,548/- as Adjusted total turnover of supply instead of correct Adjusted total turnover of Rs. 3,64,09,93,700/-. On applying formulae for refund of export without payment of tax on the correct value of Adjusted total turnover, the refund admissible comes to Rs. 1,78,20,376/- and not Rs. 1,97,57,289/- which was sanctioned by the sanctioning authority. Thus, there is excess sanction of refund of Rs. 19,36,913/- to the claimant which is required to be recovered along with interest.

- Thus, While calculating Adjusted turnover in refund claim of ITC accumulated due to export of goods/services without payment of tax, the Adjudicating Authority has considered Outward taxable supplies(other than zero rated, nil rated and exempted) value(Domestic value) given in GSTR 3B, however, the same should be total of Domestic value and Export value. Also, as per Rule 89 (4), which has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act Have 2017, the Invoice Value (Domestic + Export) is applicable for calculating Adjusted turnover and not, only the Domestic value.

- Therefore, it is required to recover said amount of erroneous refund of Rs.19,36,913/- alongwith interest from the Respondent as narrated in above paragraphs.
- In view of above, the appellant/department has made prayer for set aside the impugned order wherein the adjudicating authority has erroneously sanctioned excess refund of Rs. 19,36,913/- under Section 54 (3) of CGST Act, 2017; to pass an order directing the original authority to demand and recover the amount erroneously refunded to the Respondent with interest; to pass any other orders as deem fit in the interest of justice.

Submissions of the Respondent :

4. Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 25.07.2023, 04.08.2023 and 24.08.2023 wherein Mr. Binit Shah, C.A. was appeared on behalf of the '*Appellant*' as authorized representative. During P.H. he has submitted written submission dated 24.08.2023 and 28.08.2023 and stated that *-Due* to clerical error, total turnover figure was taken as Rs. 3,28,40,47,548/- instead of Rs. 3,64,09,93,700/-. Thus the excess refund of Rs. 19,36,913/- is passed to us. We have paid back amount of excess refund granted to us, and filled of DRC-03 debit entry no. DC2408230301499 dated 23.08.2023. The respondent further submitted additional written submission dated 28.08.2023 and stated that we have made payment of interest of Rs.

4

3,48,645/- (interest at 18% on 19,36,913/- at 18% P.A. from 26.08.2022 to 23.08.2023) on excess refund granted to us for May 2022 vide DRC-03 debit entry no. DC2408230322394, dated 28.08.2023 and requested to set aside the appeal filled by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Div-VII, Ahmedabad South as we have also paid back excess GST refund with interest granted to us.

Discussion and Findings :

I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of 5(i). appeal, submissions made by the respondent and documents available on record. I find that the present appeal was filed to set aside the *impugned* order on the ground that the adjudicating authority has taken Adjusted total turnover of supply as Rs 3,28,40,47,548/-, whereas, as per the GSTR 3B return for the month of May, 2022, the Adjusted total turnover of supply is Rs.3,64,09,93,700/-. While calculating Adjusted turnover in refund claim of ITC accumulated due to export of goods/services without payment of tax, the Adjudicating Authority has considered Outward taxable supplies(other than zero rated, nil rated and exempted) value(Domestic value) given in GSTR 3B, however, the same should be total of Domestic value and Export value value. Also, as per Rule 89 (4), which has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act 2017, the Invoice Value (Domestic + Exec is applicable for calculating Adjusted turnover and not, only the Domest value.

5(ii). I further find that as defined in clause (E) of sub-rule (4) of Rule 89, adjusted total turnover Sub-rule (4) of Rule 89 prescribes the formula for computing the refund of unutilised ITC payable on account of zero-rated supplies made without payment of tax. The formula prescribed under Rule 89 (4) is reproduced below, as under:

"Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC / Adjusted Total Turnover".

5(iii). Thus, taking the higher value of Adjusted total turnover from GSTR 3B (Rs. 3,64,09,93,700/-), applying the formulae for refund of export without payment of tax, the refund admissible comes to Rs.1,78,20,376/- and not Rs. 1,97,57,289/- sanctioned by the sanctioning authority. Thus, there is excess sanction of refund of Rs. 19,36,913/- to the claimant which is required to be recovered along with interest.

Turnover of Zero rated supply (1)	Adjusted Total Turnover of supply as per GSTR-3B (2)	Net ITC (3)	Refund Amount sanctioned (4)	Refund Amount Admissible (5) (1*3/2)	Excess Refund Amount Sanctioned (6)	
305020328	3640993700	212719839	19757289	17820376	1936913	1

Further, I find that the Respondent vide letter dated 24.08.2023 6. and 28.08.2023 have informed that they have already paid back the refund amount to the Department with interest. The Respondent has produced the copies of DRC-03 according to which the Respondent has paid the amount by debiting Cash Ledger vide Debit Entry No. DC2408230301499 dated 23.08.2023 DC2408230322394, dated 28.08.2023 for Rs. 19,36,913/towards Tax and Rs.3,48,645/- towards Interest. Therefore, I find that the Respondent has accepted the view of the Department.

7. In view of above discussions, I find that the impugned order is not legal and proper and therefore, require to be set aside. Accordingly, the appeal filed by the 'Department' is allowed and modified the 'impugned order' to the above extent.

अपीलकर्ताद्वारादर्जकीगईअपीलकानिपटाराउपरोक्ततरीकेसेकियाजाताहै।

The Appeal filed by 'Department' stand disposed off in above terms.

29/08

(Adesh Kumar Jain) Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

Date:29.08.2023

Attested

(Sandheer Kumar) Superintendent (Appeals)

By R.P.A.D. Τo, The Assistant / Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division - VII, Ahmedabad South. एवं सेवाकः

Appellant

Respondent

M/s. Jindal World Wide Limited, Jindal Corporate House, Opposite D-Mart, I.O.C. Petrol Pump Lane, Shivranjani, Shyamal, 132 Ft Road, Satellite, Ahmedabad - 380 015.

Copy_to:

- The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone. 1.
- The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad. 2.
- The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-South. 3.
- The Dy/Asstt. Commissioner, CGST, Division-VII, Ahmedabad South. 4. 5.
- The Superintendent (Systems), CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad. в. Guard File.
- P.A. File 7.

